U.S. MIGRATION METRICS

HHS Reinterpretation of "Federal Public Benefits": The Impact on Immigrant Eligibility

Medha Makhlouf, Menen Pierre, and George Ayine
Medical-Legal Partnership Clinic at Penn State Dickinson Law

Main Takeaways

- HHS expanded its interpretation of "federal public benefits," potentially restricting immigrant eligibility for a wider range of federally funded health and social service programs.
- Programs potentially affected include Head Start, community health centers, behavioral health programs, family planning services, and community service block grants.
- Many lawfully present immigrants who are not considered "qualified immigrants," as well as undocumented immigrants, may lose access to services they were previously eligible to receive.
- Multiple federal lawsuits have partially blocked enforcement of the policy, including nationwide protections for Head Start participants, but legal challenges are still ongoing.

About the Authors

Medha D. Makhlouf is the Elsie de R. and Samuel P. Orlando Distinguished Professor and Director of the Medical-Legal Partnership Clinic at Penn State Dickinson Law.

Menen Pierre is a J.D. candidate at Penn State Dickinson Law.

George Ayine is a J.D. candidate at Penn State Dickinson Law.

Please cite as:
Makhlouf M, Pierre M, and Ayine G. 2026. "HHS Reinterpretation of "Federal Public Benefits": The Impact on Immigrant Eligibility.." *U.S. Migration Metrics Policy Brief Series* 1(6).

Overview of the HHS Policy Change

On July 14, 2025, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) issued a policy expanding its interpretation of "federal public benefit" under the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA). The reinterpretation immediately broadened the range of federally funded programs potentially subject to immigrant eligibility restrictions. Under PRWORA, eligibility for federal public benefits is generally limited to "qualified immigrants," including lawful permanent residents, refugees, asylees, and certain protected groups. Earlier HHS guidance interpreted these restrictions more narrowly and exempted programs necessary to protect life and safety, including emergency medical treatment, immunizations, communicable disease testing and treatment, school meal programs, emergency disaster relief, and some nutrition assistance programs such as WIC. The new interpretation may narrow access to services that immigrant communities had historically been able to receive regardless of immigration status.

Impact on Immigrant Communities

The reinterpretation affects many immigrants who were previously eligible for federally funded programs but are not classified as "qualified immigrants" under PRWORA. This included individuals with Temporary Protected Status (TPS), applicants for adjustment to Lawful Permanent Resident status with approved visa petitions, applicants for asylum or withholding of removal, people paroled for less than one year, individuals granted deferred enforced departure or deferred action, special immigrant juveniles, U visa holders, people with valid nonimmigrant status or visas, longtime residents, people under an order of supervision with employment authorization, those granted Family Unity, applicants for cancellation of removal or suspension of deportation with employment authorization, applicants for adjustment under the LIFE Act, lawful temporary residents and applicants for legalization under IRCA, applicants for registry, and undocumented immigrants. The policy may significantly reduce access to health care, mental health services, early childhood education, and family planning services for immigrant families. The uncertainty surrounding implementation may also create chilling effects, discouraging eligible families from seeking services out of fear or confusion regarding immigration consequences. Community organizations and service providers may face administrative burdens as they attempt to interpret changing eligibility rules while continuing to provide care.

Programs Potentially Affected

HHS identified several programs that may now qualify as "federal public benefits," including Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinics, the Community Mental Health Services Block Grant, the Community Services Block Grant (CSBG), Head Start, the Health Center Program, Health Workforce Programs, grants addressing mental health and substance use disorders, and the Title X Family Planning Program. Although HHS acknowledged that some programs may still qualify for PRWORA's life-and-safety exceptions, the agency has not yet clarified which programs are exempt. As a result, there is uncertainty among providers, advocates, and immigrant families regarding eligibility and continued access to services.

Litigation and Legal Challenges

A coalition of 21 states challenged HHS's reinterpretation in federal court, arguing that the agency violated the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) by implementing major policy changes without a public notice-and-comment process. The states also argued that the reinterpretation was coercive and threatened longstanding social safety net programs relied upon by immigrant communities. On September 10, 2025, the U.S. District Court for the District of Rhode Island issued a preliminary injunction blocking enforcement of the policy in the plaintiff states. Additionally, the reinterpretation has been blocked nationwide for all Head Start agencies, providers, students, and participating families following a separate federal court decision in Washington state. The court found that HHS failed to adequately consider the reliance interests of Head Start programs, which had operated for nearly three decades under the previous interpretation. Although these injunctions temporarily limit enforcement, the broader legal challenges remain ongoing, and courts have not yet issued final rulings on the substance of the policy.